# 連星中性子星合体に対する一般相対論的 輻射磁気流体計算:コード開発の現状

## 木内建太 (京大基礎物理学研究所)



Center for Gravitational Physics Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics Gravitational Wave Physics and Astronomy Concession

# GW170817: First detection of gravitational waves from a binary neutron star mergers



![](_page_1_Figure_2.jpeg)

$$\mathcal{M}_{\rm chirp} = (m_1 m_2)^{5/3} / (m_1 + m_2)^{1/5} = 1.188^{+0.004}_{-0.002} M_{\odot}$$

Inspiral signals lasting for 74 seconds from 24 Hz ⇒ Binary Neutron Star Merger

#### First constraint on the equation of state of NS matter

![](_page_2_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $\tilde{\Lambda}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) \le 800 \Rightarrow R_{1.35M_{\odot}} \le 13.6 \,\mathrm{km}$ 

Consistent NS mass observed so far and a soft EOS is favored

# Real Multimessenger Era (GW+EM)

![](_page_3_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### GW detection

⇒ After 1.7s, Gamma-Ray detection
⇒ After 0.5 day, UV-Optical-NIR
detection (source identification)
⇒ After 9 day, X-ray detection
⇒ After 16 day, Radio detection

LSC+EM, APJL 2017

# GRB170817A : Fermi Gamma-ray Burst Monitor detection

![](_page_4_Figure_1.jpeg)

Coincidence detection with time lag of 1.7 second  $\Rightarrow$  Source position is consistent with GW170817
 E<sub>iso</sub> ~ 10<sup>46</sup> erg which is much below typical short gamma-ray burst

## Swope Supernova Survey 17a : Optical-NIR detection

![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

► From UV-Optical emission at 0.5 day (Blue emission) to Near-Infrared emission at 9 days (Red emission)  $\Rightarrow$  Two components Fast blue component  $\sim 0.02M_{\odot}, v \sim 0.2 - 0.3c$ Slow red component  $\sim 0.03M_{\odot}, v \sim 0.1c$ 

# Long-term monitoring of X-ray and Radio

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

Synchrotron emission model nicely fits a single power law spectrum
 Turnover in the light curve at 200 days ⇒ Suggestion of relativistic motion

lmage of the emission region in radio  $\Rightarrow$  Superluminal motion

# A consistent picture of GW170817 and AT2017gfo

Mooley et al. 2018

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

loka and Nakamura PTEP 2018

▶ In GW170817, relativistic jet was launched and significant amount of the ejecta mass was emitted.

► Numerical modeling based on NR simulations can explain the ejecta from GW170817. (Sekiguchi, KK et al. 17a,b, Fujibayashi, KK et al. 18, Shibata et al. 17)

Challenge : Building a consistent numerical model

$$G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi (T_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{hyd})} + T_{\mu\nu}^{(\nu\text{-rad})} + T_{\mu\nu}^{(EM)})$$
  

$$\nabla_{\mu} (T_{(\text{hyd})}^{\mu\nu} + T_{(EM)}^{\mu\nu}) = -G^{\nu}$$
  

$$\nabla_{\mu} T_{(\nu\text{-rad})}^{\mu\nu} = +G^{\nu}$$
  

$$\nabla_{\mu} (\rho u^{\mu}) = 0$$
  

$$\nabla_{\mu} (F_{l} u^{\mu}) = 0$$
  

$$\nabla_{\mu} F^{\mu\nu} = -4\pi j^{\nu}, \ \nabla_{\mu}^{*} F^{\mu\nu} = 0$$

► In K project, we neglect EM field or neutrino radiation.

However, all the components should be included to build a central engine of SGRBs.

# Challenge : Building a consistent numerical model

# Blandford-Znajek mechanism as a key ingredient for relativistic jet launching

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Extracting BH rotational energy via coherent B fields
   In BNS merger, the matter inertia dominate the B fields (randomly oriented B field is developed).
- Neutrino heating drives a disk wind in a polar direction.
- ⇒ Poloidal field generation because of the B-file lines frozen into the matter

Code develop : Numerical Relativity-neutrino Radiation Magneto Hydro Dynamics

- ► Einstein Solver based on Baumgarte-Shapiro-Shibata-Nakamura formulation ⇒ Tuning is OK
- ► Neutrino Radiation Hydrodynamics Solver based on M1-scheme ⇒ Tuning is OK
- ▶ B-field Solver based on Constraint-Transport method ⇒ Tuning is OK
- ► Nested grid (Fixed mesh refinement) implementation ⇒ Tuning is OK
- Tuning target is Primitive Recovery Solver with a tabulated EOS table

Code develop : Primitive Recovery Solver

We evolve the spatial components of the four velocity and energy weighted by the specific enthalpy.

 $\rho w$ ,

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}_{i} = h\boldsymbol{u}_{i},$   $\hat{\boldsymbol{e}} = h\boldsymbol{w} - P(\rho, T, Y_{e})/\rho\boldsymbol{w}$   $h(\rho, T, Y_{e}) = 1 + \epsilon + \frac{P}{\rho}, \ \boldsymbol{w}^{2} = 1 + \gamma^{ij}\boldsymbol{u}_{i}\boldsymbol{u}_{j}$ 

We need h to disentangle  $\hat{u}_i$  and  $\rho w$ . But, h is a function of  $\rho$  and T. Therefore, iteration is needed.

Data size of the tabluated EOS is large, e.g., 400Mbyte for SFHo EOS

![](_page_12_Figure_0.jpeg)

If we focus on a specific MPI process, the density range is not so large.  $\Rightarrow$  We dynamically make a block EOS table.

#### Code develop : Scaling

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

▶ Strong scaling up to 32,000 cores.
▶ Parallelization efficiency is ~76%.

## Test simulation

EOS = SFHo with Timmes  $m_1 = m_2 = 1.34 M_{\odot},$   $\Delta x_{lv=10} = 200$  meter, 10 nested grid levels, # of grid in x,y,z in one nested grid level  $\in [-178:178]$ 

We intentionally selected a model with short-lived remnant because the condition to resolve the MRI is relaxed.

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{\rm MRI} &\approx 500 \ {\rm m} \ (B/10^{16} {\rm G}) (\rho/10^{15} \ {\rm g} \ {\rm cm}^{-3})^{-1/2} (\Omega/6000 \ {\rm rad} \ s^{-1}) \\ &\approx 3,000 \ {\rm m} \ (B/10^{16} {\rm G}) (\rho/10^{12} \ {\rm g} \ {\rm cm}^{-3})^{-1/2} (\Omega/6000 \ {\rm rad} \ s^{-1}) \end{split}$$

## Test simulation

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $E_{\rm rot,BH} \approx 2.5 \times 10^{53} \, {\rm erg} (M_{\rm BH}/2.5 M_{\odot}) (\chi/0.6)^2$ 

#### Test simulation

But, I found the BH moves in z direction secularly and the code crashed at t  $\approx$  160 ms. cf. Accretion time scale is  $\approx$  400 ms. Time delay between GW170817 and GRB170817A is 1.7s.

► Maybe, we need switch to a staggered grid to ensure the linear momentum conservation or enlarge the numerical domain.

- Resolution study is mandatory.
- More systematic study for BNS models