# Some topics in 2-flavor QCD at zero and finite temperature

# Sinya AOKI

Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University



Workshop Lattice QCD at finite temperature and density, January 20-22, 2014, Seminar room at No.4 Building, KEK

# Part I

Chiral Symmetry Restoration and Eigenvalue Density of Dirac Operator at Finite Temperature

with H. Fukaya and Y. Taniguchi for JLQCD Collaboration





Also related to Taniguchi-san's talk after this.

# 1. Introduction

Chiral symmetry of QCD

phase transition

low T 
$$U(1)_B \otimes SU(N_f)_V$$
 high

h T  $U(1)_B \otimes SU(N_f)_L \otimes SU(N_f)_R$ 

restoration of chiral symmetry

Some questions

1. Eigenvalue distribution of Dirac operator



2. Recovery of U(1)\_A symmetry at high T?

Previous studies on 1

$$\rho(\lambda) = \lim_{V \to \infty} \frac{1}{V} \sum_{n} \delta(\lambda - \lambda_n)$$

Cossu *et al.* (JLQCD 2013), Overlap Phys. Rev. D87 (2013) 114514



14年1月22日水曜日



#### Lin (HotQCD11), DW

 $m_{\rm l}/m_{\rm s} = 1/20$ 

0.006

0.008

### Is small $\lambda$ suppressed ?

#### Ohno et al. (11), HISQ

14年1月22日水曜日

Previous studies on 2

$$\chi_{U(1)_A} = \int d^4x \, \left\langle \sigma(x)\sigma(0) - \delta(x)\delta(0) \right\rangle$$

Cohen(96), Theory Yes !  $\chi_{U(1)_A} = 0, \quad (m \to 0)$ 

Lee-Hatsuda(96), Theory No! zero mode contributions are important.

$$\chi_{U(1)_A} = O(m^2) + \Delta$$
  $\Delta = O(1)$  at  $N_f = 2$ : contributions from  $Q = \pm 1$ 

#### Old Lattice results

Chandrasekharan *et al.*, (98), KS No !

Bernard, et al. (96), KS No !



Chiral symmetry is restored.

 $U(1)_A$  is NOT.

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Hegde (HotQCD11), DW No ?!

meson correlators

$$\chi_{U(1)_A} = 0 \text{ or not } ?$$

Yes ?!

![](_page_7_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Figure_5.jpeg)

14年1月22日水曜日

Buchoff *et al.* (LLNL/RBC 2013), DWF arXiv:1309.4149[hep-lat]

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

No ?!

![](_page_8_Figure_3.jpeg)

Cossu *et al.* (JLQCD 2013), Overlap Phys. Rev. D87 (2013) 114514

![](_page_8_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### Our work

give constraints on eigenvalue densities of 2-flavor overlap fermions, if chiral symmetry in QCD is restored at finite temperature.

discuss a behavior of singlet susceptibility using the constraints.

#### Content

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Overlap fermions
- 3. Constraints on eigenvalue densities
- 4. Discussions: singlet susceptibility

# 2. Overlap fermions

Action 
$$S = \overline{\psi}[D - mF(D)]\psi, \quad F(D) = 1 - \frac{Ra}{2}D$$

**Ginsparg-Wilson relation** 

$$D\gamma_5 + \gamma_5 D = a D R \gamma_5 D$$

![](_page_10_Figure_4.jpeg)

Propagator

Measure

$$S(x,y) = \sum_{n} \left[ \frac{\phi_n(x)\phi_n^{\dagger}(y)}{f_m \lambda_n - m} + \frac{\gamma_5 \phi_n(x)\phi_n^{\dagger}(y)\gamma_5}{f_m \overline{\lambda}_n - m} \right] - \sum_{k=1}^{N_{R+L}} \frac{1}{m} \phi_k(x)\phi_k^{\dagger}(y) + \sum_{K=1}^{N_D} \frac{Ra}{2} \phi_K(x)\phi_K^{\dagger}(y)$$

bulk modes(non-chiral) zero modes(chiral) doublers(chiral)
$$f_m = 1 + \frac{Rma}{2}$$
# of doublers
$$f_m = 1 + \frac{Rma}{2}$$

$$P_m(A) = e^{-S_{YM}(A)} (-m)^{N_f N_{R+L}^A} \left(\frac{2}{Ra}\right)^{N_f N_D^A} \prod_{\Im \lambda_n^A > 0} \left(Z_m^2 \bar{\lambda}_n^A \lambda_n^A + m^2\right)$$
# of zero modes
$$Z_m^2 = 1 - (ma)^2 \frac{R^2}{4}$$

positive definite and even function of  $m \neq 0$  for even  $N_f$ 

N\_f=2 in this talk.

Ward-Takahashi identities under "chiral" rotation

$$\begin{array}{lll} & \theta^a(x)\delta^a_x\psi(x) &=& i\theta^a(x)T^a\gamma_5(1-RaD)\psi(x), \\ & \theta^a(x)\delta^a_x\bar{\psi}(x) &=& i\bar{\psi}(x)\theta^a(x)T^a\gamma_5, \end{array}$$

Integrated operators

$$S^{a} = \int d^{4}x S^{a}(x), \quad P^{a} = \int d^{4}x P^{a}(x) \qquad \qquad S^{a}(x) = \bar{\psi}(x)T^{a}F(D)\psi(x), \qquad \text{scalar}$$
  
$$P^{a}(x) = \bar{\psi}(x)T^{a}i\gamma_{5}F(D)\psi(x), \qquad \text{pseudo-scalar}$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{chiral rotation at N_f=2} & \delta^a S^b = 2 \delta^{ab} P^0, \delta^a P^b = -2 \delta^{ab} S^0 \\ & \delta^a S^0 = 2 P^a, \delta^a P^0 = -2 S^a \end{array}$ 

If the chiral symmetry is restored,

$$\frac{\delta^a}{2}\mathcal{O}_{n_1,n_2,n_3,n_4} = -n_1\mathcal{O}_{n_1-1,n_2,n_3,n_4+1} + n_2\mathcal{O}_{n_1,n_2-1,n_3+1,n_4} - n_3\mathcal{O}_{n_1,n_2+1,n_3-1,n_4} + n_4\mathcal{O}_{n_1+1,n_2,n_3,n_4-1}$$

# 3. Constraints on eigenvalue densities

non-singlet chiral symmetry is restored:

$$\lim_{m \to 0} \lim_{V \to \infty} \langle \delta_a \mathcal{O} \rangle_m = 0 \quad (\text{for } a \neq 0),$$
$$\langle \mathcal{O}(A) \rangle_m = \frac{1}{Z} \int \mathcal{D}A P_m(A) \mathcal{O}(A), \quad Z = \int \mathcal{D}A P_m(A).$$
$$P_m(A): \text{ even in } m$$

Assumption 2 if  $\mathcal{O}(A)$  is *m*-independent

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(A) \rangle_m = f(m^2)$$
  $f(x)$  is analytic at  $x = 0$ 

Note that this does not hold if the chiral symmetry is spontaneously broken.

Ex.

Assumption 1

$$\lim_{V \to \infty} \frac{1}{V} \langle Q(A)^2 \rangle_m = m \frac{\Sigma}{N_f} + O(m^2)$$

Assumption 3 if 
$$\mathcal{O}(A)$$
 is *m*-independent and positive, and satisfies  

$$\lim_{m \to 0} \frac{1}{m^{2k}} \langle \mathcal{O}(A) \rangle_m = 0$$

$$(\mathcal{O}(A))_m = m^{2(k+1)} \int \mathcal{D}A \, \hat{P}(m^2, A) \mathcal{O}(A)$$
finite
$$\hat{P}(0, A) \neq 0 \text{ for } \exists A$$
consequence
for  $\forall l$  integer
$$\langle \mathcal{O}(A)^l \rangle_m = m^{2(k+1)} \int \mathcal{D}A \, \hat{P}(m^2, A) \mathcal{O}(A)^l = O(m^{2(k+1)})$$

since  $\mathcal{O}(A)$  and  $\mathcal{O}(A)^l$  are both positive and share the same support.

eigenvalues density can be expanded as

$$\rho^{A}(\lambda) \equiv \lim_{V \to \infty} \frac{1}{V} \sum_{n} \delta\left(\lambda - \sqrt{\bar{\lambda}_{n}^{A} \lambda_{n}^{A}}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \rho_{n}^{A} \frac{\lambda^{n}}{n!} \qquad \text{at } \lambda = 0 \ \left(\lambda < \epsilon\right)$$

More precisely, configurations which can not be expanded at the origin are "measure zero" in the configuration space.

Assumption 4

## 4. Constraints on eigenvalue densities

$$\begin{array}{c} \hline \textbf{general N(odd)} & \mathcal{O}_{1,0,0,N-1} & \lim_{m \to 0} \lim_{V \to \infty} (-\langle \mathcal{O}_{0,0,0,N} \rangle_m + (N-1) \langle \mathcal{O}_{2,0,0,N-2} \rangle_m) = 0. \\ \hline \textbf{large volume} & & \\ \hline \textbf{I}_{VN} & \langle (S_0)^N \rangle_m = N_f^N \left\langle \left\{ \frac{N_{R+L}^A}{mV} + I_1 \right\}^N \right\rangle_m + O(V^{-1}) \to 0 \\ \hline I_1 = \frac{1}{Z_m} \int_0^{\Lambda_R} d\lambda \, \rho^A(\lambda) g_0(\lambda^2) \frac{2m_R}{\lambda^2 + m_R^2} = \pi \rho_0^A + O(m) & \Lambda_R = \frac{2}{Ra}: \text{ cut-off} \\ g_0(\lambda^2) = 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{\Lambda_R^2}, \ m_R = m/Z_m \end{array}$$

Both  $\rho_0^A$  and  $N_{R+L}^A$  are positive.

$$\langle \rho_0^A \rangle_m = O(m^2)$$

$$\lim_{V \to \infty} \left\langle \frac{(N_{R+L}^A)^N}{V^N} \right\rangle = O(m^{N+1}) \bigoplus_{\substack{V \to \infty \\ \forall N}} \lim_{V \to \infty} \left\langle \frac{N_{R+L}}{V} \right\rangle_m = 0$$
for small but non-zero  $m$ 

Example of calculations

$$S(x,y) = \sum_{n} \left[ \frac{\phi_n(x)\phi_n^{\dagger}(y)}{f_m\lambda_n - m} + \frac{\gamma_5\phi_n(x)\phi_n^{\dagger}(y)\gamma_5}{f_m\overline{\lambda}_n - m} \right] - \sum_{k=1}^{N_{R+L}} \frac{1}{m}\phi_k(x)\phi_k^{\dagger}(y) + \sum_{K=1}^{N_D} \frac{Ra}{2}\phi_K(x)\phi_K^{\dagger}(y)$$

$$S_0 = -\int d^4x \operatorname{tr} F(D)S(x,x) = -\sum_n \left[\frac{F(\lambda_n)}{f_m\lambda_n - m} + \frac{F(\bar{\lambda}_n)}{f_m\bar{\lambda}_n - m}\right] + \frac{N_{R+L}^A}{m}$$

$$\begin{split} \boxed{\mathbf{N}=2} & \chi^{\sigma-\pi} = \frac{1}{V^2} \langle S_0^2 - P_a^2 \rangle_m, \qquad \chi^{\eta-\delta} = \frac{1}{V} \langle P_0^2 - S_a^2 \rangle_m \\ = 0 & \text{topological charge} \\ \chi^{\eta-\delta} = N_f \left\langle \frac{1}{m^2 V} \{ 2N_{R+L} - N_f Q(A)^2 \} + \frac{1}{Z_m} \left( \frac{I_1}{m_R} + I_2 \right) \right\rangle_m & Q(A) = N_R^A - N_L^A \\ = 0 & I_2 = \frac{2}{Z_m} \int_0^{\Lambda_n} d\lambda \rho^A(\lambda) \frac{m_R^2 - \lambda^2 g_0(\lambda^2) g_m}{(\lambda^2 + m_R^2)^2}, \quad g_m = \frac{1}{Z_m^2} \left( 1 + \frac{m^2}{2\Lambda_R^2} \right) \\ \frac{I_1}{m_R} + I_2 = \rho_0^A \left( \frac{\pi_m}{m} + \frac{2}{\Lambda_R} \right) + 2\rho_1^A + O(m), \\ & \uparrow & (\rho_0^A)_m = O(m^2) \\ & \lim_{m \to 0} \frac{N_f^2 \langle Q(A)^2 \rangle_m}{m^2 V} = 2 \lim_{m \to 0} \langle \rho_1^A \rangle_m \end{split}$$

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### WT identities

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{2001} \rangle_m \to 0, \quad \langle -\mathcal{O}_{0201} + 2\mathcal{O}_{1110} \rangle_m \to 0, \quad \langle \mathcal{O}_{0021} + 2\mathcal{O}_{1110} \rangle_m = 0$$
  
$$\langle -\mathcal{O}_{0003} + 2\mathcal{O}_{2001} \rangle_m \to 0, \quad \langle \mathcal{O}_{0021} - \mathcal{O}_{0201} + \mathcal{O}_{1110} \rangle_m \to 0,$$

$$\langle \rho_0^A \rangle_m = -\frac{m^2}{2} \langle \rho_2^A \rangle_m + O(m^4)$$
$$\lim_{V \to \infty} \frac{\langle Q(A)^2 \rho_0^A \rangle_m}{V} = O(m^4)$$

$$\begin{split} & \overset{\langle \mathcal{O}_{4000} - \mathcal{O}_{0040} \rangle_m \to 0, \quad \langle \mathcal{O}_{4000} - 3\mathcal{O}_{2020} \rangle_m \to 0, \\ \langle \mathcal{O}_{0400} - \mathcal{O}_{0040} \rangle_m \to 0, \quad \langle \mathcal{O}_{0400} - 3\mathcal{O}_{0220} \rangle_m \to 0, \\ \langle \mathcal{O}_{2020} - \mathcal{O}_{0222} \rangle_m \to 0, \\ \langle \mathcal{O}_{2111} - \mathcal{O}_{0202} + \mathcal{O}_{0022} \rangle_m \to 0. \\ & \langle \mathcal{O}_{1111} - \mathcal{O}_{0202} + \mathcal{O}_{0022} \rangle_m \to 0. \\ & & \langle \mathcal{O}_{1111} - \mathcal{O}_{0202} + \mathcal{O}_{0022} \rangle_m \to 0. \\ & & & \langle \mathcal{O}_{1111} - \mathcal{O}_{0202} + \mathcal{O}_{0022} \rangle_m \to 0. \\ & & & \langle \mathcal{O}_{1111} - \mathcal{O}_{0202} + \mathcal{O}_{0022} \rangle_m \to 0. \\ & & & \langle \mathcal{O}_{1111} - \mathcal{O}_{0202} + \mathcal{O}_{0022} \rangle_m \to 0. \\ & & & & \langle \mathcal{O}_{1111} - \mathcal{O}_{0202} + \mathcal{O}_{0022} \rangle_m \to 0. \\ & & & & \langle \mathcal{O}_{1111} - \mathcal{O}_{0202} + \mathcal{O}_{0022} \rangle_m \to 0. \\ & & & & \langle \mathcal{O}_{1111} - \mathcal{O}_{0202} + \mathcal{O}_{0022} \rangle_m \to 0. \\ & & & & \langle \mathcal{O}_{1111} - \mathcal{O}_{11111} - \mathcal{O}_{1111} - \mathcal{O}_{11111} - \mathcal{O}_{1111} - \mathcal{O}_{1111} - \mathcal{O}_{11111} - \mathcal{O}_{11111} -$$

#### + result from N=4k (general)

Final results

$$\lim_{m \to 0} \langle \rho^A(\lambda) \rangle_m = \lim_{m \to 0} \langle \rho_3^A \rangle_m \frac{|\lambda|^3}{3!} + O(\lambda^4)$$

No constraints to higher  $\langle \rho_n^A \rangle_m$ 

 $\langle \rho_3^A \rangle_m \neq 0$  even for "free" theory.

$$\langle \rho_0^A \rangle_m = 0$$
$$\lim_{V \to \infty} \frac{1}{V^k} \langle (N_{R+L}^A)^k \rangle_m = 0, \quad \lim_{V \to \infty} \frac{1}{V^k} \langle Q(A)^{2k} \rangle_m = 0$$

# 5. Discussion: Singlet susceptibility

Singlet susceptibility at high T

$$\lim_{m \to 0} \chi^{\pi - \eta} = \lim_{m \to 0} \lim_{V \to \infty} \frac{N_f^2}{m^2 V} \langle Q(A)^2 \rangle_m = 0$$

Both Cohen and Lee-Hatsuda are inaccurate.

This, however, does not mean U(1)\_A symmetry is recovered at high T.

$$\lim_{m \to 0} \chi^{\pi - \eta} = 0$$

is necessary but NOT "sufficient" for the recovery of U(1)\_A .

More general Singlet WT identities

$$\langle J^0 \mathcal{O} + \delta^0 \mathcal{O} \rangle_m = O(m)$$

anomaly(measure)

singlet rotation

We can show for  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4} = (P^a)^{n_1} (S^a)^{n_2} (P^0)^{n_3} (S^0)^{n_4}$ 

$$\lim_{V \to \infty} \frac{1}{V^k} \langle J^0 \mathcal{O} \rangle_m = \lim_{V \to \infty} \left\langle \frac{Q(A)^2}{mV} \times O(V^0) \right\rangle_m = 0$$

where k is the smallest integer which makes the  $V \to \infty$  limit finite.  $S^0 \sim O(V), \ P^a, S^a, P^0 \sim O(V^{1/2})$ 

$$\lim_{m \to 0} \lim_{V \to \infty} \frac{1}{V^k} \langle \delta^0 \mathcal{O} \rangle_m = 0$$

Breaking of U(1)\_A symmetry is absent for these "bulk quantities".

14年1月22日水曜日

# Important consequence

Effect of U(1)\_A anomaly is invisible in scalar and pseudo-scalar sector.

![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

Chiral phase transition in 2-flavor QCD is likely to be of first order !? (See Taniguchi-san's talk in detail.)

#### **Final Comments**

- 1. Large volume limit is required for the correct result.
- 2. If the action breaks the chiral symmetry, the continuum limit is also required.

3. We only use a part of WT identities. Therefore, our constraints are necessary condition.

4. We can extend our analysis to the eigenvalue density with fractional power. The conclusion remains the same. (See the next page.) Fractional power for the eigenvalue density

$$\rho^A(\lambda) \simeq c_A \lambda^\gamma, \ \gamma > 0$$

If non-singlet chiral symmetry is recovered at high T

$$\gamma \leq 2 \text{ is excluded.} \qquad \qquad \gamma > 2$$

#### consistent with the integer case (n > 2)

# Part II

# Massless up quark and Dashen phase in Chiral Perturbation Theory

with Mike Creutz @ BNL

![](_page_26_Picture_3.jpeg)

# 1. Introduction

 $\theta$  term in QCD i

$$i\theta \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} F_{\mu\nu}(x) F_{\alpha\beta}(x) \equiv i\theta q(x)$$
 CP odd

Neutron Electric Dipole Moment(NEDM)

 $\begin{cases} \text{Experimental bound} \\ |\vec{d_n}| \leq 6.3 \times 10^{-26} e \cdot cm \\ \text{Model estimate} \end{cases}$  $\theta = \theta_{\rm QCD} + \theta_{\rm EW} \le O(10^{-8})$ Strong CP problem !  $|\vec{d_n}|/\theta \simeq 10^{-15} \sim 10^{-17} e \cdot cm$  $m_u = 0$ massless up quark One possible "solution" (Lattice QCD already ruled out this ?) chiral rotation  $u \to e^{i\alpha\gamma_5}u, \quad \bar{u} \to \bar{u}e^{i\alpha\gamma_5},$ if  $m_u = 0$ , we can make  $m_{\mu} \, \bar{u}u \to m_{\mu} \, \bar{u}e^{i2\alpha\gamma_5}u$  $\theta' = 0$ by  $\alpha = -\frac{\theta}{2N_f}$  $\theta \rightarrow \theta' = \theta + 2\alpha N_f$  chiral anomaly

Mike Creutz, "Quark masses, the Dashen phase, and gauge field topology" arXiv:1306.1245[hep-lat]

Mike's Oracles

 $m_d > 0$  fixed, then

1. Nothing special happens at  $m_u = 0$ .

- 2. Massless neutral pion:  $m_{\pi^0} = 0$  at  $m_u = \exists m_c < 0$ .
- 3. Pion condensation (Dashen phase):  $\langle \pi^0 \rangle \neq 0$  at  $m_u < m_c < 0$ .
- 4.  $\chi = \infty$  at  $m_u = m_c$ . 5.  $\chi = 0$  at  $m_u = 0$ .  $\chi = \frac{1}{V} \langle Q^2 \rangle$  topological susceptibility

In the part II, I show the above properties by ChPT including the anomaly effect. In addition, we discuss an interesting prediction related to these in 2-flavor QCD.

14年1月22日水曜日

![](_page_28_Picture_9.jpeg)

# ChPT with "anomaly"

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{f^2}{2} \operatorname{tr} \left( \partial_{\mu} U \partial^{\mu} U^{\dagger} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \left( M^{\dagger} U + U^{\dagger} M \right) - \frac{\Delta}{2} \left( \det U + \det U^{\dagger} \right)$$

effect of anomaly

Warm-up:  $N_f = 1$  case

naive guess 
$$m_{\rm PS}^2 = \frac{2B}{f^2} |m_0| + \delta m^2$$
 No massless "pion" (eta)

![](_page_29_Figure_5.jpeg)

$$U = U_0 = e^{i\varphi_0}$$

vacuum ansatz

$$m = 2Bm_0$$

$$U(x) = U_0 e^{i\pi(x)/f}$$
PS meson field
$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \pi(x) \partial^\mu \pi(x) - (m + \Delta) U_0 \cos(\pi(x)/f)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[ (\partial_\mu \pi(x))^2 + \frac{|m + \Delta|}{f^2} \pi(x)^2 \right] + O(\pi^4)$$

$$\square \qquad m_{\rm PS}^2 = \frac{|m + \Delta|}{f^2}$$

m = 0 is note special

non-symmetric under  $m \to -m$ 

massless PS meson at  $m = -\Delta$ 

![](_page_30_Figure_10.jpeg)

14年1月22日水曜日

# 2. Phase structure and pion masses at N\_f=2

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} m_u & 0 \\ 0 & m_d \end{pmatrix} \equiv 2B \begin{pmatrix} m_{0u} & 0 \\ 0 & m_{0d} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \qquad U = U_0 = e^{i\varphi_0} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\varphi_3} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\varphi_3} \end{pmatrix}$$
  
mass term vacuum

$$\mathsf{VEV} \qquad \begin{array}{rcl} \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle &\equiv& \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\left(U_0 + U_0^{\dagger}\right) = 2\cos(\varphi_0)\cos(\varphi_3), \\ \langle \bar{\psi}i\gamma_5\psi \rangle &\equiv& \frac{1}{2i}\mathrm{tr}\left(U_0 - U_0^{\dagger}\right) = 2\sin(\varphi_0)\cos(\varphi_3), \\ \langle \bar{\psi}i\gamma_5\tau^3\psi \rangle &\equiv& \frac{1}{2i}\mathrm{tr}\,\tau^3(U_0 - U_0^{\dagger}) = 2\cos(\varphi_0)\sin(\varphi_3), \\ \langle \bar{\psi}i\gamma_5\tau^3\psi \rangle &\equiv& \frac{1}{2i}\mathrm{tr}\,\tau^3(U_0 - U_0^{\dagger}) = 2\cos(\varphi_0)\sin(\varphi_3). \end{array}$$

potential 
$$V(\varphi_0, \varphi_3) = -m_u \cos(\varphi_0 + \varphi_3) - m_d \cos(\varphi_0 - \varphi_3) - \Delta \cos(2\varphi_0)$$

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial \varphi_0} = m_u \sin(\varphi_0 + \varphi_3) + m_d \sin(\varphi_0 - \varphi_3) + 2\Delta \sin(2\varphi_0) = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial \varphi_3} = m_u \sin(\varphi_0 + \varphi_3) - m_d \sin(\varphi_0 - \varphi_3) = 0.$$

gap equations

# Solutions

 $0 < m_d < \Delta$ 

 $\Delta < m_d$ 

$$\sin^{2}(\varphi_{3}) = \frac{(m_{d} - m_{u})^{2} \{(m_{u} + m_{d})^{2} \Delta^{2} - m_{u}^{2} m_{d}^{2}\}}{4m_{u}^{3} m_{d}^{3}}$$
$$\sin^{2}(\varphi_{0}) = \frac{(m_{u} + m_{d})^{2} \Delta^{2} - m_{u}^{2} m_{d}^{2}}{4m_{u} m_{d} \Delta^{2}},$$

 $\sin^2(\varphi_3) = \frac{(m_d - m_u)^2 \{(m_u + m_d)^2 \Delta^2 - m_u^2 m_d^2\}}{4m_u^3 m_d^3}$ 

 $\sin^{2}(\varphi_{0}) = \frac{(m_{u} + m_{d})^{2}\Delta^{2} - m_{u}^{2}m_{d}^{2}}{4m_{u}m_{d}\Delta^{2}},$ 

 $m_c^- < m_u < m_c^+$ 

#### Dashen phase

$$-m_c^- < m_u < m_c^+$$

#### Dashen phase

$$U_0 = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\sin^2(\varphi_3) = \sin^2(\varphi_0) = 1), \quad \tilde{m}_u < -m_c^-$$

$$m_c^{\pm} = -\frac{m_d \Delta}{\Delta \pm m_d} < 0,$$

## Phase structure

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

VEV

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

# PS meson masses

$$U(x) = U_0 e^{i\Pi(x)/f}, \qquad \Pi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\eta(x) + \pi_0(x)}{\sqrt{2}} & \pi_-(x) \\ \pi_+(x) & \frac{\eta(x) - \pi_0(x)}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$m_{\pm}(\vec{\varphi}) = m_d \cos(\varphi_0 - \varphi_3) \pm m_u \cos(\varphi_0 + \varphi_3)$$
  
=  $m_{\pm} \cos(\varphi_0) \cos(\varphi_3) + m_{\mp} \sin(\varphi_0) \sin(\varphi_3)$   
 $\delta m = 2\Delta \cos(2\varphi_0).$   $m_{\pm} = m_d \pm m_u$ 

![](_page_36_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_0.jpeg)

# 3. Topological susceptibility and massless up quark

(anomalous) WT identities

$$\langle \left[\partial^{\mu}A^{a}_{\mu}(x) + \bar{\psi}(x)\{M, T^{a}\}\gamma_{5}\psi(x) - 2N_{f}\delta^{a0}q(x)\right]\mathcal{O}(y)\rangle = \delta^{(4)}(x-y)\langle\delta^{a}\mathcal{O}(y)\rangle$$

$$q(x) = \frac{g^{2}}{16\pi^{2}}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}G_{\mu\nu}(x)G_{\alpha\beta}(x), \quad \text{topological charge density}$$

 $\mathcal{O}(y) = q(y)$  with a = 0, 3

![](_page_38_Picture_4.jpeg)

Integrating over  $x_1$ 

$$\chi \equiv \int d^4x \left\langle q(x)q(y)\right\rangle = \frac{2m_u}{N_f} \int d^4x \left\langle \bar{u}\gamma_5 u(x)q(y)\right\rangle.$$

? 
$$\chi = \infty \text{ at } m_{\pi^0} = 0 \text{ and } m_u \neq 0$$
  
 $\chi = 0 \text{ at } m_{\pi^0} \neq 0 \text{ and } m_u = 0$ 

# Anomalous WT identities in N\_f=2 ChPT

 $\delta U(x) = 2i\theta(x)U(x).$  $\langle \delta_x S \mathcal{O}(y) \rangle = \delta^{(4)}(x-y) \langle \delta \mathcal{O}(y) \rangle$  WT identities  $\delta_x S = i\theta(x) \left[ \partial^{\mu} A_{\mu}(x) + \operatorname{tr} \left\{ M U^{\dagger}(x) - M^{\dagger} U(x) \right\} - \Delta \left\{ \det U(x) - \det U^{\dagger}(x) \right\} \right],$  $A_{\mu}(x) = f^2 \operatorname{tr} \left\{ U^{\dagger}(x) \partial_{\mu} U(x) - U \partial_{\mu} U^{\dagger}(x) \right\} = 2N_f q(x)$ : topological charge density  $2N_f \chi \equiv \int d^4x \left\langle \left[ \partial^\mu A_\mu(x) + \operatorname{tr} \left\{ M U^{\dagger}(x) - M^{\dagger} U(x) \right\} \right] q(y) \right\rangle$  $2N_f \chi = \frac{\Delta^2}{4} \int d^4 x \left\langle \left\{ \det U(x) - \det U^{\dagger}(x) \right\} \left\{ \det U(y) - \det U^{\dagger}(y) \right\} \right\rangle$ +  $\frac{\Delta}{2} \left\langle \det U(y) + \det U^{\dagger}(y) \right\rangle$ ,  $-\frac{2\Delta^2}{f^2} \int d^4x \, \langle \eta(x)\eta(y) \rangle$ 

effect of contact term  $?=\Delta$ 

$$\sum 2N_f \chi = -\frac{4\Delta^2 m_+(\vec{\varphi})}{m_+^2(\vec{\varphi}) - m_-^2(\vec{\varphi}) + 2m_+(\vec{\varphi})\delta m} + \Delta.$$

$$m_{u} = 0 \quad \longrightarrow \quad m_{+}(\vec{\varphi}) = m_{-}(\vec{\varphi}) = m_{d} \text{ and } \delta m = 2\Delta$$

$$\sum \qquad \sum \qquad 2N_{f}\chi = -\frac{4\Delta^{2}m_{d}}{4m_{d}\Delta} + \Delta = 0,$$

$$m_{\pi^{0}}^{2} = 0 \quad \longrightarrow \qquad \int d^{4}x \langle \eta(x)\eta(y) \rangle = \frac{1}{2X} \left(\frac{X_{-}}{m_{\pi_{0}}^{2}} + \frac{X_{+}}{m_{\tilde{\eta}}^{2}}\right) \quad \longrightarrow \infty$$

$$\Longrightarrow \qquad 2N_f \chi \quad \to \quad -\infty, \quad m_{\tilde{\pi}_0} \to 0,$$

# 4. Summary and Discussions

Using ChPT with anomaly effect, we show

1.  $m_u = 0$  is nothing special if  $m_d \neq 0$ . (no symmetry)

2. At 
$$m_u = m_c^{\pm}, -m_c^{-} \neq 0, m_{\pi^0} = 0.$$
  
3.  $\langle \pi^0 \rangle \neq 0$  at  $m_c^{-}(-m_c^{-}) < m_u < m_c^{+}$ . Dashen phase Staggered quark?  
4.  $\chi = \infty$  at  $m_u = m_c.$   
5.  $\chi = 0$  at  $m_u = 0.$ 

If  $m_d \neq 0$ , massless up quark  $(m_u = 0)$  may not be universal (schemedependent).  $m_+$  and  $m_-$  are renormalized differently.

Instead, massless up quark may be defined by  $\chi = 0$ .

On the lattice, we should first show that  $\chi$  is universal.

We then check whether  $\chi = 0$  or not at physical point.

Application

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_0.jpeg)

## PS meson masses

$$m_{\pi_{\pm}}^{2} = m_{\pi_{0}}^{2} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2f^{2}} 2|m|, & m^{2} \ge 4\Delta^{2} \\ \frac{1}{2f^{2}} \frac{m^{2}}{\Delta}, & m^{2} < 4\Delta^{2} \end{cases} \qquad m_{\eta}^{2} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2f^{2}} [2|m| - 4\Delta], & m^{2} \ge 4\Delta^{2} \\ \frac{1}{2f^{2}} \frac{4\Delta^{2} - m^{2}}{\Delta}, & m^{2} < 4\Delta^{2} \end{cases} ,$$

![](_page_44_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $m_{\pi}^2 = \frac{1}{2f^2} \frac{m^2}{\Delta}$ 

How can we get this from WT-identities ?

$$\langle \{\partial^{\mu}A^{3}_{\mu} + m \operatorname{tr}\tau^{3}(U^{\dagger} - U)\}(x)\mathcal{O}(y)\rangle = \langle \delta^{x}\mathcal{O}(y)\rangle$$

taking  $\mathcal{O} = \operatorname{tr} \tau^3 (U^{\dagger} - U)$  and integrating over x