Calculation of Transition Strength of Nuclei

J. Terasaki Center for Computational Science Univ. of Tsukuba

- 1. Motivation and purpose of my research
- 2. Density functional theory and QRPA
- 3. Spherical nuclei
 - Systematic calculation of strength function
- 4. Deformed nuclei
 - γ -vibrational states of rare-earth nuclei
- 5. Summary

Dec. 5, 2011 Shima 1. Motivation and purpose

One of the goals of nuclear physics : to establish theoretical methods to describe all properties of all nuclei

To establish capability to supply other fields including nuclear astrophysics with the nuclear data they need.

My basic idea: application is very important.

The purpose of my research : to calculate as many transition strengths and energies of nuclei as possible using density functional theory and Search reliable nuclear density functional 2. Density functional theory (DFT)

Energy density functional

 $\langle \hat{H} \rangle {=} \int d^3 \vec{r} \, \mathcal{H}(\rho(\vec{r})) = E[\rho]$

Dynamical equation

 $\frac{\delta}{\delta\rho} E[\rho] = 0 \quad \rightarrow \text{ technically mean-field eq.}$

The density functional which I use: Skyrme-type Most parts are contact interaction $\delta(\overline{r_1} - \overline{r_2})$.

- volume term $\propto \delta(\overrightarrow{r_1} \overrightarrow{r_2})$
- momentum² $\delta(\vec{r_1} \vec{r_2})$ (gradient approximation)
- spin-orbit term $\propto \delta(\overrightarrow{r_1} \overrightarrow{r_2})$
- density-dependent term $\rho(\vec{r_1})^{\alpha}\delta(\vec{r_1}-\vec{r_2})$
- Coulomb term (exchange term in Slater approximation)

The previous dynamical equation \rightarrow ground state

Derivation of dynamical eq. for excited states :

- Extend to time-dependent formulation. Introduce fluctuation around the ground state.
- Assume the time dependence of the fluctuation as e^{iωt}.
- Neglect many-body many-hole correlations

Dynamical eq. of random-phase approximation (RPA).

Solutions are stationary states.

Add pairing energy density (contact interaction, volume type)



3. Spherical Nuclei

Strength function

$$S_{J}(E) = \sum_{k} \sum_{M=-J}^{J} \left| \left\langle \Psi_{k} \left| \hat{F}_{JM} \right| \Psi_{0} \right\rangle \right|^{2} L_{k}(E)$$

Transition operator

Isoscalar
$$e \frac{Z}{A} \sum_{i:nucleons} f_J(r_i) Y_{JM}(\Omega_i)$$

Isovector $e \frac{N}{A} \sum_{i:proton} f_J(r_i) Y_{JM}(\Omega_i) - e \frac{Z}{A} \sum_{i:neutron} f_J(r_i) Y_{JM}(\Omega_i)$

$$f_J(r_i) \propto r_i^n$$



J.T. et al. Phys. Rev. C 74, 044301 (2006)



















































SkM*




















































At A = 154 - 162 (N = 104 - 112) ground states : deformed















J.T. et al. Phys. Rev. C 74, 044301 (2006)

4. Deformed nuclei

DFT breaks rotational symmetry in deformed nuclei.

Another code for deformed nuclei developed assuming axial symmetry and parity.



Quadrupole deformation $\beta = 0.3$ Well deformed

Deformed nuclei calculated





Gamma-vibrational states measured

Energy of Gamma-vibrational states



J. T. and J. Engel, Phys. Rev. C 84, 014332 (2011)

B(E2)[↑] of Gamma-vibrational states







Two-quasiparticle energy of the comp. having the largest forward amp. of neutrons

Neutron unperturbed energy



Z-dependence of the gamma-vib. states (SkM*)

Two-quasiparticle energy of the comp. having the largest forward amp. of **protons**

Proton unperturbed energy

Correlation is seen in N- and Z- dependences of E_{QRPA} and E_{2qp}

The N and Z-dependences of the calculated γ -vibrational states are dominated by the two-quasiparticle states

The experimental data show the weaker *N*- and *Z*- dependences than the calculation

The reality has more configuration mixing than QRPA.
5. Summary

1. It is coming possible to calculate not only ground but also excited states of a much larger number of nuclear species than before thanks to powerful parallel computers.

The remarkable enhancement of the transition strength is found in the low-energy region of nuclei near the neutron drip line in a variety of isotopic chains and J^{π} .

2. Calculated results of γ -vibrational states have been compared with the exp. data systematically. They are not bad, but more many-body correlations are seen in the data.